96TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

H. CON. RES. 105

Requiring imposition of charges for congressional parking spaces.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

APRIL 10, 1979

Mr. Foley submitted the following concurrent resolution; which was referred to the Committee on House Administration

CONCURRENT RESOLUTION

Requiring imposition of charges for congressional parking spaces.

- 1 Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate
- 2 concurring), That (a) the Building Commission of the House
- 3 of Representatives and the Committee on Rules and Admin-
- 4 istration of the Senate shall issue joint regulations requiring
- 5 the payment of uniform reasonable charges by each Member
- 6 of Congress, congressional employee, and other person as-
- 7 signed a parking space under the jurisdiction of the Congress
- 8 for a period of thirty days or longer for a private vehicle or
- 9 official vehicle not required for the essential operations of
- Approved For Release 2004/03/17 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000600050063-3
- 10 Congress.

1 (b) The regulations referred to in subsection (a) shall								
2 provide that all charges imposed under this resolution shall								
3 be suspended if either House of Congress, without the con-								
4 currence of the other, alters the uniformity of such charges.								
(c) The regulations referred to in subsection (a) shall be								
6 issued within six months after this resolution is agreed to by								
7 the Congress and shall take into consideration such factors as								
8 the character of the parking spaces involved, charges for								
9 parking by Federal employees to whom this resolution is not								
10 applicable, commercial rates and charges for parking, and								
11 any other factors, such as consideration for physical handi-								
12 caps, that will result in fair and equitable charges.								
SEC. 2. Amounts collected under this resolution shall be								
4 deposited in the general fund of the Treasury as miscella-								
15 neous receipts.								
SEC. 3. As used in this resolution—								
17 (1) the term "Member of Congress" means a Sen-								
ator or Representative in, or a Delegate or Resident								
19 Commissioner to, the Congress; and								
20 (2) the term "congressional employee" has the								
meaning given such term in section 2107 of title 5,								
22 United States Code.								

96TH CONGRESS 1ST SESSION

S. 930

To amend the National Energy Conservation Policy Act to prohibit free Federal employee parking.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

APRIL 9, 1979

Mr. Percy (for himself and Mr. Durenberger) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Governmental Affairs

A BILL

To amend the National Energy Conservation Policy Act to prohibit free Federal employee parking.

- 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
- 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
- 3 That this Act may be cited as the "Federal Employee Park-
- 4 ing Act".
- 5 Sec. 2. (a) Title V of the National Energy Conservation
- 6 Policy Act is amended by adding at the end thereof the fol-
- 7 lowing hew part: Approved For Release 2004/03/17 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000600050063-3

1	"PART 5—FEDERAL EMPLOYEE PARKING
2	"Sec. 571. (a) No real property located in the United
3	States, which is owned or leased by, or otherwise under the
4	jurisdiction of the United States Government, which is princi-
5	pally utilized, as determined by the Administrator of General
6	Services, to provide parking facilities for privately owned
7	motor vehicles in connection with employment, business, or
8	visitation of Federal offices or installations, may be utilized
9	for such propose unless there is assessed against each such
10	vehicle a charge or fee within the range of charges or fees
11	generally applicable for public parking purposes in similar
12	facilities in the same general locality.
13	"(b) As used in this section the term 'similar facilities'
14	shall refer to publicly available parking facilities in nearby
15	business or commercial areas which are of the same kind (lot,
16	garage, or onstreet parking), convenience, and quality as that
17	granted on the Federal property. If such similar facilities do
18	not exist in nearby areas a fee shall be assessed which re-
19	flects fees charged in any public or private parking facility in
20	a comparable area elsewhere in the United States.
21	"(c) The restrictions imposed by subsection (a) shall not
22	2 be applicable to parking facilities provided in connection with
2	3 any Federal employee's residence, including, but not limited
2. App	to housing and visitation facilities at military bases and roved For Release 2004/03/17 : CIA-RDP85-00988R000600050063-3
	5 installations.

- 1 "(d) The Administrator of General Services, the Direc-
- 2 tor of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts,
- 3 and the Architect of the Capitol shall take such steps as may
- 4 be necessary to coordinate the respective activities of the ex-
- 5 ecutive, judicial, and legislative branches of the Government
- 6 in the implementation of the restrictions imposed under sub-
- 7 section (a).
- 8 "(e) The provisions of this section shall become effective
- 9 upon enactment; except that the restrictions imposed by sub-
- 10 section (a) shall become effective on October 1, 1979, with
- 11 such phaseout period as the Administrator of the General
- 12 Services Administration determines is necessary to meet any
- 13 legal obligations or other compelling considerations.
- 14 "(f) All revenues collected pursuant to the provisions of
- 15 this section shall be deposited into the Treasury of the United
- 16 States as miscellaneous receipts.".
- 17 (b) The table of contents of such Act is amended by
- 18 inserting immediately after section 509 the following:

"PART 5-FEDERAL EMPLOYEE PARKING

"SEC. 571. Federal employee parking.".

	The second secon	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Fiscal year 1978				Taranta () () () () () () () () () (AT - THE PARTY AND PROPERTY.	
	ಗ ಬಹ್ಮಾಗಿ ಮಂದು ಎಸ್.ಮೈ.ಕಿಕ್ಕತ್ತಿ ►					Prese		VA grant-in-ai		
Location of State homes		Veteran Hays of cace	Average daily census	Per diem cost	Total veteran cost	By VA	Percent by	By H.R. 2226	Percent by H.R. 2226	
Michigan: Grand Rapide	The state of the s	61, 942	170	\$15.45	\$957, 004	\$340,681	35.6.	£178, 192	50.0	
Minneapolis Hastings (Annex)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	121, 256 5, 610	332	13.71	1, 596, 942	666, 908 30, 855	41.8	791,802 -	49.6	
Missouri: St. James		_ 21 467		42, 82 13, 12	-245, 830 281, 647	" 118.069	12.6 41.9	54, 583 140, 82	50.0	
Montana: Columbia Falls		30, 243 46, 821	83 128	12. 28 25. 80	371, 384 1, 207, 982	166, 337 257, 916	21. 3.	185, 692 455, 100	50,0 37,7	
New Jarsey: mento Park			93 - شرحه س	SQ. 19 ··	687, 894	187, 391 71, 803	27.2		48, 1	
New York: Oxford		13, 055 - 9, 070	* 36 25	26.85 31.91	350, 527 289, 424	71, 803 49, 885	20.5 · 17.2	39/2 , 126, 895 88, 160	36.2 30.5	
North Dakota: Lisbon Chio: Sandusky		38, 658 252, 480	105 692	11. 93 14. 46	3, 650, 861	212, 619 1, 207, 072	46.1	230, 402 1, 825, 430	50.0	
Oklahoma: Ardmore	2 2 2 2 2 2	34, 762	95	18.10	629, 192	191, 191	30.4	314, 596	50.0	
Clinton			38 219	22, 28	310, 139 2, 965, 125	76, 709 438, 747	24.7	135, 565 775, 284	43.6	
Sulphur		10,636	29	37. 17 23. 46	249, 521	58, 498	- 14.8 23.4	103, 382	26. 1 41. 4	
Sulphur Pennsylvania: Erie		27, 398	75	25, 98 18, 00	711, 800	150, 689 55, 968	21.2	266, 309	37: 4	
Hollidaysburg Rhode Island: Bristol South Dakota: Hot Springe		10, 176 41, 332	28 113		183, 168 775, 802	227 326	30.6. 29.3	91, 584 87, 694	50.0 50.0	
South Dakota: Hot Springe		34, 512 4, 049	95 11	15.77 17.66	544, 254 71, 505	189, 816 22, 270	34.9 31.1		50.0 50.0	
Vermont: Bennington Washington: O.tinp	الله العبد الله السيار الله الله الله الله الله الله الله ال	20, 401	83	27.3	A 6	167, 206	20.1	295, 498	35.5	
Retail Wisconsin: King		58, 581 21, 908 17, 931	140	21.80 16.38	832, 683 1, 111, 386 344, 110	280, 396 115, 544	25.2. 33.6	495, 535 172, 056	44.6 50.0	
Wyomine Ruffale		17, 95	49	22, 58	405, 334	98, 731	22.4	174, 484	43.1	
Nursing care	anda distriction de la company	1, 816, 259	4, 976	33.74	61, 279, 629 -	19, 049, 615	31.1	27, 803, 535	45, 4	
California: Yountville		124, 355-,	ं. 341-	23_85	2, 965, 867	1, 305, 728	44.0	1, 183, 555	50,0	
Colorada:	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	40, 913	112	23.00	940, 999	429, 587	45,75		-50.0	
Homelake Georgia:	The same of the sa	6,774		27.89	188, 927	71, 127 😓		94, 493		
Augusta Milledgville		63, 511 44, 357	174 122	30, 23 40, 86	1, 919, 938 - 1, 812, 427	666, 866 465, 749	25.7	960, 286 748, 803	50. 0 3 41. 3	
Illinois: Quincy Indiana: Lafayette		115, 477	316 168	32.08 43.01	3, 704, 502	1, 212, 509 645, 362	32.7	1, 852, 251 1, 036, 881 1, 036, 881 279, 181	50.0	
lowa: Marshalltown		56, 702 19, 772	- 155 54	6.91	2, 643, 524 2, 659, 891 558, 361	595, 371 207, 606	24.4	1,036,881	39.2	
Kansas: Fort Dodge			4.3.	~21.59		212, 405		218, 473		
Holyone		20, 229 80, 161	220	47. 91	436, 744 3, 840, 514	841, 691	48.6 21.9	1. 352, 316	50.0 35.2	
Michican: Grand Rapids		176, 357 32, 543 32, 993	483 89	37.89 29.80	6, 682, 167 969, 781	1, 851, 749 341, 702	35.2	2, 975, 143 484, 891		
Massachusetts: Chelsea Holyone Michican: Crand Rapids Minnesota: Minneapolis Missouri: St. James Montana: Columbia Falls Nebraska: Grand Island		32, 993 14, 083	90 39	7:19.72 22.66	650, 622 312, 121 3, 871, 061	341, 702 325, 311 147, 872	50.0 46.3	325, 311 159, 560	50.0 F	
Nebraska: Grand Island		134, 552 26, 430	369 72	28, 77 28, 66	3, 871, 061 757, 484	1, 412, 796 277, 515	36.5 36.6	1, 936, 203 378, 742	50.0 50.0	
New Jersey: Menio Park	\	92, 931	255	- 395 - 27.55 -	2, 560, 249	975; 778	38.1	1, 280, 589	°1 50. 0	
Vineland New York: Oxford		85, 604 11, 403	235 31	40, 41 54, 13	3, 459, 258 617, 244	898, 842 119, 732	26.0° 19.4	1, 444, 139 192, 369	41.8 31.2	
0.4		and the second	. 78			297, 696	34.8	J-9655 - 17	50.0	
Clinton		28, 352 55, 583 16, 739	152	30, 14 30, 73 43, 29	1,708,066 724,631	583, 622 175, 760	34.2 24.3	854 311	50.0	
Horman Sulphur			45 131	32.63	1, 558, 800	501.586	32. 2 27. 2	282, 387 779, 639	39. 0 50. 0	
Talihina Pennsylvania:		52,371	144	38. 65	2, 024, 139-	ar amana a 🛰 ja	The second of	883, 499	43.7	
Frie Hollidaysburg		25, 245	69	25. 98 0	655, 865 0	255, 073	40.4	327, 933 0	- 49.2 0	
Court Carolina Columbia		62, 393 34, 562	171	37. 14 29. 16	2, 317, 276 1, 007, 828	665, 127 362, 901	28.3 36.0	1, 052, 570 - 503, 914	45, 5	
South Dakota: Hot Springs		34, 562 11, 060 40, 625	30	30, 45 24, 78	336, 711	116, 130 426, 563	34.5	168, 444 503, 344	50.0 50.0	
West Virginia:		26, 645	73	34.68	924, 049	279, 773	30.3	449,501	48.6	
Retsil	يستان القوائد المنظم المنظ والمنظم المنظم المنظ	27, 447	75 372	39. 70 37. 54	1, 089, 646 5, 512, 937	288, 194 1, 524, 978	28.0 28.0	2,477,444	42.5 44.9	
Wisconsin: King		146, 855			21, 038, 261	4, 214, 499	20.0	8, 940, 873	42.5	
Hospital care		366, 478		57.40						
California: Yountville Connecticut: Rocky Hill		141, 894 116, 435 7, 588	389 319	46, 60 44, 10	6, 612, 260 5, 134, 784 422, 652	1, 631, 781 1, 339, 003 87, 262	24. 7 26. 1	3, 306, 130 2, 567, 331 211, 325	50.0 50.0	
Illinois: Quincy		7, 588 45, 047	21 123	55, 70 80, 47	3 , 625, 737	87, 262 	20.6 14.3	7211, 325 7, 293, 135	50.0 35.7	
Massachusettes:		43.013	118	86,68	3, 728, 304	494,650 ~	- t3.3.	E.234_473	33 t	
Holyoke		3, 935 5, 898	11 19	273.66	- 840, 752	45, 253 79, 327	5. £ 23. 6	112, 935	13.4	
- Oklahoma: Sulphur Wisconsin: King		1, 658		203.85	335, 726 337, 983	19, 067	5.6	47, 585	14.1	

By Mr. PERCY (for himself and Mr. DURENBERGER)

S 936 A bill to amend the National Energy Conservation _olicy Act to prohibit free Federal employee parking; to the Committee on Governmental Affairs...

PEDEBAL EMPLOYEE PARKING ACT OF 1979

Mr. PERCY. Mr. President, I introduce at this time a bill which is identical to a bill I introduced in 1977. That: earlier bill proved to be, as I recall, the most unpopular bill I have ever intro-

duced—unpopular with my own staff and with every other staff member in the Senate, unpopular with every colleague in Congress.

Today I reintroduce this proposed legislation, knowing now that it has the full support of the majority leader of the Senate and knowing also that it has the support of the President of the United States.

Mr. President, I was extremely pleased that President Carter, in his

intends to phase out subsidized parking for Federal employees. I have long supported this concept, and in 1977 pro-posed legislation which would have ended free parking for all Federal employees.

Although the legislation failed, I believe that it is now an idea whose time has come. While I applaud Fresident Carter's action, I believe that to be fairer and more effective, phasing-out energy speech last week, said that he of free parking should include Mem-Control of the Control of the Control

bors of Congress and phroyert For Release 2004/03/17: CIA-RDP85-00988R000600050063-3 of nuclear reactor ployees, as well as the Federal judicial ployees, as well as the Federal judicial. "Sec. 571. (a) No real property located in The day of free ride should be over. the United States, which is owned or leased All Federal employees, including Members of Congress and their staffs, should pay for parking just as most other citizens do.

For these reasons, today I am introducing the Federal Employees Parking Act of 1979. The bill-would amend the National Energy Conservation Policy Act of 1978. It is similar to the legislation which I introduced in 1977.

The proposed legislation would institute a system of parking fees for all Federal employees. It would require the Administrator of the General Services Administration, the Director of the Administrative Office of U.S. Courts, and the Architect of the Capitol to identify all Federal properties in the United States which are used primarily for private parking.

These officials would determine parking tees for those properties hased on the rates charged for public arking racing in the diffinedials area in Spurped or the all erect where no parking fees are customarily charged for any factories not see would be required.

Priority and engionity for parking privileges would still be determined in accordance with current seniority systems. Carpooling, vanpooling, or other energy saving plans would remain ineffect.

The establishment of parking fees will have many positive effects. Energy would be saved as Federal employees switch to carpools and mass transit. This would help to stimulate the development of mass transit systems, and would help to cut air pollution in areas such as Washington, D.C., where there are large numbers of Federal workers. It also will help reduce the Federal deficit by covering the cost of maintaining federally operated parking facilities. Under my bill, these facilities would be paid for by the people who use them, rather than by taxpayers.

Mr. President, those of us who support a comprehensive national energy policy also have maintained that the policy must be balanced so that the burdens are shared as equally as possible. If the Federal Government-especially Congressexpects Americans to accept this policy, we must share the sacrifices.

The basic issue is simple: Is a selfinterest in free parking more important to us than the national interest in fairness? Obviously not, I hope that my colleagues will join me in supporting this bill.

I ask unanimous consent that the text of the bill be printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the bill was ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the "Federal Employee Parking Act".

Sec. 2. (a) Title V of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act is amended by adding at the end thereof the following new part:

by, or otherwise under the jurisdiction of the United States Government, which is principally utilized, as determined by the Administrator of General Services, to provide parking facilities for privately owned motor vehicles in connection with employment, business, or visitation of Federal offices or installations, may be utilized for such purpose unless there is assessed against each such vehicle a charge or fee within the range of charges of fees generally applicable for public parking purposes in similar facilities

"(b) As used in this section the term similar facilities shall refer to publicly evellable parking facilities in nearby business. or commercial areas which are of the same kind (lot, garage, or on-street parking); con-venience, and quality as that granted on the Federal property. If such similar facilities seed which relects to charged in any cape area (sewhere in the United State).

(c) The restrictions imposes to parting

tion (a) shall not be applicable to parking facilities provided in conection with any Federal employee's residence, including, but not limited to, housing and visitation facilities at military bases and installations.

(d) The Administrator of General Services, the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts, and the Architect of the Capitol shall take such steps as may be necessary to coordinate the respective activities of the Executive, Judicial, and Legislative Branches of the Government in the implementation of the restrictions imposed under subsection (a).

"(e) The provisions of this section shall become effective upon enactment; except that the restrictions imposed by subsection: (a) shall become effective on October 1, 1979 with such phase-out period as the Administrator of the General Services Adminis-tration determines is necessary to meet any legal obligations or other compelling considerations.

"(f) All revenues collected pursuant to the provisions of this section shall be deposited into the Treasury of the United States as miscellaneous receipts.".

(b) The table of contents of such Act is amended by inserting immediately after secion 509 the following:

"PART 5-FEDERAL EMPLOYEE PARKING "Sec. 571. Federal employee parking.".

> By Mr. PERCY (for himself, Mr. DOMENICI, Mr. HEINZ, Mr. KEN-NEDY, and Mr. TSONGAS):

S. 931. A bill to provide specific. encouragement to localities for the development of energy management programs directed toward the implementation of energy conservation strategies and renewable resource-based technologies; to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

LOCAL ENERGY MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1979

Mr. PERCY. Mr. President, few today would deny the urgent need to reduce our dependence on imported oil. Rising OPEC prices are straining family budgets here at home while diminishing the value of the dollar abroad.

No single "technological fix" can resolve our current energy dilemma. Nuclear energy, long regarded as our energy salvation, faces numerous uncertainties. The Three Mile Island accident has seriously undermined previous assumpcrations. Long-term storage of radioactive waste poses another considerable challenge.

In developing energy alternatives, we must draw fully upon the ingenuity and and versatility of the American people. Individual innovators and small businesses are among our Nation's greatest resources.

America's cities, towns, counties, and villages are another major-and largely untapped—energy resource. Several units of local government have already exhibited their enormous innovative capacities in the energy field. Scattle. Wash; recently developed an imaginative conservation program which reduced the city's overall electricity consumption by 8 percent in 1977. In Davis, Calif., an energy-conserving building code has cut electricity and natural gas consumption in the residential sector by an amazing 18 percent. Hearings held last summer by the House Energy and I'ower Subcommittee highlighted these and other successful local energy initiatives.

Unfortunately, Davis and Seattle are by no means typical of America's several thousand local communities. Most units of local government have made little progress in coming to grips with the energy dilemma.

Better communication between localities is needed if we are to stimulate a more active local role in energy management. The Davis and Seattle experiences provide inspiring examples, but their lead will only be followed if reliable, detailed information about these and other local efforts is made widely available.

- It is unreasonable to leave the task of information dissemination to individual localities. Davis alone has received hundreds of requests for information on its energy-conserving building code. It cannot afford to respond to these requests with more than the most basic, prepackaged information.

The Federal Government has done little to fill this information gap, Within the Department of Energy, the Energy Extension Service, which recently concluded its pilot phase, primarily targets its information at individual consumers and small businesses. Another Department of Energy initiative, the comprehensive community energy management program, has begun to assess the energy management capacities of a few localities, but the program has done little to strengthen the flow of information between local government officials.

Mr. President, today I am introducing legislation which addresses the need both for a more reliable data base on local government energy initiatives and for better information-sharing among local government officials. The Local Energy Management Act, as it is called. focuses specifically on local government efforts to stimulate energy conservation and the development of renewable energy resources. I am pleased to have Senators Domenici, Heinz, Kennedy, and Tsongas as cosponsors of this bill.

I would like to emphasize that the bill's funding requirements are quite

The second secon

is a fraction of what is being prop for further research into such his speculative projects as the solar power satellite.

I am further convinced that the bill's programs would be highly cost-effective. Upon examining several existing local energy initiatives, I have been impressed by the rapid pay-back of many measures. The city of Carbondale, Ill., is about to retrofit its water treatment plant with more energy-efficient equipment. City officials predict that within 8 months, energy savings will recover the cost of this \$20,000 investment. By stimulating comparable energy innovations in many localities, I am confident that the bill I am proposing would more than pay for itself in a few years' time.

In addition to being cost-effective, the Local Energy Management Act avoids creating any new offices within the Department of Energy. Rather, the pro-posed programs would become the responsibility of an existing office within the Department's Conservation and Solar Applications division.

Mr. President, I am introducing this bill with the hope of generating an active debate in the Congress regarding the Federal role in local energy management. This is an issue which I plan to raise when the Governmental Affairs Committee holds its oversight hearings on the Department of Energy later this spring. I look forward to hearing the views of my colleagues on this matter. I also welcome the comments of individual local officials and organizations such as the National League of Cities, the National Association of Counties, the U.S. Conference of agement Association. Mayors, and the International City Man-

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that a summary of the Local Energy Management Act of 1979, followed by the text of the bill, he printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the summary and bill were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows: -

THE LOCAL ENERGY MANAGEMENT ACT-A BRIEF DESCRIPTION

The Local Energy Management Act pro-poses to create three interlocking programs:

DEMONSTRATION GRANT PROGRAM

A modestly funded Demonstration Grant Program would offer grants to localities for the implementation of programs which emphasize energy conservation and the development of renewable energy resources. At the end of the grant period, Demonstration Grant recipients would be asked to supply comprehensive information on the programs they have developed, including: (1) an estimation of fossil fuels to be displaced by these programs over a one-year, five-year, and ten-year period; (2) a description of their programs designed to provide information to the public regarding energy conservation and renewable energy resource options; (3) a de-scription of mechanisms used by them to involve the public in energy policy decisions; and (4) a description of programs used to foster private industry—particularly small business—participation in energy conserva-tion and renewable energy resources development. In addition, recipients would be required to outline their plans for future programs, particularly those involving major apital expenditures.

ApprovedarofiReleased2004/03/4 Py 1C4AnRIDP85-06988R000600056063b3 "Local Energy Man-pill's programs over a 3-year period. This recipients would constitute an important in-ment Act of 1979". formation resource for other localities wishing to develop their own programs in these

LOCAL ENERGY REFERENCE CENTER

The Local Energy Reference Center would serve as a data bank and information clear-inghouse for locally based energy management efforts. The Reference Center would draw upon the experiences of Demonstration Grant localities. In addition, it would collect information on successful programs conducted by non-Demonstration Grant locali-

To minimize the Department of Energy's administrative burdens, and to make maximum use of existing resources, the Reference Center would be established outside of the Department through a contractual arrangement with an organization or a consortium of organizations currently involved in disseminating information to local government

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PANELS PROGRAM

The Technical Assistance Panels Program: would serve as a versatile, decentralized information and technical assistance agency, with a branch in each of the ten regional offices of the Department of Energy. Each of these branches would be administered by a Panel Program Manager.

Panel Program Manager.
The Panel Program Manager would solicit requests for information or technical assistance from localities within the represented region. Upon receiving a particular request, the Program Manager would select from a number of possible response mechanisms. In straightforward cases, he or she could refer the inquiry to an appropriate Federal agency or to the Local Energy Reference Center.

In more demanding cases, the Program Manager would call upon a Technical Assistance Panel. This Panel would consist of individuals with particular expertise in energy conservation and renewable energy resources development. A two-thirds majority of Panel members would be local government officials, while the remainder not be required to be government-affiliated. Each Federal Region would have its own Panel.

The purpose of the Panels would be to provide a direct, peer-based exchange of information among local officials. On receiving a referral from the Program Manager, a Panel member would consult with the local official who requested the assistance. This consultation would take place via telephone, written correspondence, or site visit. Other than expenses for site visits, Panel members would receive no financial compensation for

The Technical Assistance Panel would occasionally be unable to respond with adequate detail or expertise to certain informa-tion requests. In such instances, the Program Manager would have discretionary power to contract with one or more consultants, up to the net equivalent of one full person-year per annum.

FUNDING

Funding for the Local Energy Management Act would be \$8 million for the first year, \$10 million for the second year, and \$12 million for the third year.

The Demonstration Grant Program would receive a minimum of 50 percent of the authorized funds; the Local Energy Reference Center would receive at least 10 percent of the funds; and a minimum of 25 percent of the funds would be allocated to the Lachnical Assistance Panels Program,

S. 931

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this

LE I-FINDINGS, DECLARATION OF PURPOSE, AND DEFINITIONS FINDINGS AND DECLARATION OF POLICY

SEC. 101. (a) The Congress hereby finds and declares that-

(1) local units of government are highly appropriate vehicles for the promotion of energy conservation and renewable resourcebased technologies, because of their sensitivity to geographic and climatic variations. their ability to make effective use of available human skills and economic resources, their high visibility, and their capacity to accommodate a high degree of direct citizen in-volvement in the study, implementation, and

demonstration of new programs;
(2) local units of government can play an important role in stimulating the involvement of private industry, particularly small businesses, in energy conservation and renewable energy resources development;

(3) many localities have already developed innnovative and effective programs which promote energy conservation and the development of renewable energy resources;

.(4) geographic and economic constraints have generally discouraged localities with successful programs in the energy field from sharing their knowledge and experience with other localities:

(5) the Federal Government has provided only scattered and insufficient information and financial assistance to localities for the purposes of encouraging energy conservation and the development of renewable energy resources: and

(6) the Department of Energy should encourage localities to implement energy conservation measures and to expand their use of renewable energy resources.

(b) It is the purpose of this Act to provide broader Federal assistance to localities by-

(I) establishing a Demonstration Grant Program, applicable to localities which propose to implement comprehensive programs promoting energy conservation and the development of renewable energy resources:

(2) establishing a Local Energy Reference Center, to collect and disseminate information on existing and potential local programs which promote energy conservation and the development of renewable energy resources;

(3) establishing a Technical Assistance Panels Program, administered through the Department of Energy's Regional Offices, to facilitate information-sharing among local officials with respect to energy conservation and the development of renewable energy resources.

-- DEFINITIONS

Sec. 102. For the purpose of this Act, the

(1) "Department" means the Department

of Energy;
(2) "Secretary" means the Secretary of the Department of Energy;

(3) "Assistant Secretary" mear : the Assistant Secretary for Conservation and Solar Applications;

(4) "region" refers to a Federal administrative region;

(5) "locality" means a city, town, borough, county, parish, district, or other public body created by or pursuant to State law, with responsibility for the planning and administration of local affairs;

(6) "intermunicipal agency" means an agency established by two or more local units of government;

(7) "local official" means an elected representative of a unit of local government or if designated by the elected representative, an employee of a local unit of government;

(8) "energy conservation" means a capital investment or a practice which leads to a net saving in energy;